

""Under Jurisdiction of Nagpur Court Only"
WESTERN COALFIELDS LIMITED

(A Govt. of India undertaking)
COAL ESTATE CIVIL LINES, NAGPUR, PIN 4

COAL ESTATE CIVIL LINES, NAGPUR, PIN 440 001 PHONE NO.: 2510038 FAX No.: 2510038/2511664

Email: wclitax@gmail.com Website: westerncoal.gov.in

CIN: U10100MH1975GO1018626

Ref No.:WCL/NGP/GM(F) /CORP.TAX/17-18/227

Date: 28.04.2016

To

M/s Dewani Brothers Advocates Opposite Poonam Chembers, 1<sup>st</sup>, Ajanta, Chhindwara Road, Byramji Town, Choani, Nagpur 440013

Dear Sir,

Sub: Letter of renewal of Appointment as a consultants.

We are pleased to inform you that the Competent Authority of WCL approved your renewal of appointment as a consultant for Income Tax and Wealth Tax matters, for a period of one year, with effect from April'1, 2017 (i.e. for 2017-18), thereafter it may be renewed if performance is found satisfactory, with the scope of work and fees as given below:

SI	Scope of Work	Amount Rs.
no	a. Monthly Retainer ship fees for advising us from time to time on issues	30000/-
1	relating to various amendments taking place in direct tax matters dire	
	b. Assistance in / vetting of compilation of corporate Income Tax Return and Wealth Tax Return, Revised Returns .	25000/- per year
2	Assistance in appearance and attendance before Assessing Authority for the purpose of assessment. Reviewing Corporate tax / wealth tax orders & preparing appeal and other allied matters and attendance before First Appellate Authority irrespective of number of hearings.	
3	Reviewing various Orders passed by the First appellate Authority and preparing appeal and other allied matters and attendance before ITAT irrespective of number of hearings.	
4	Reviewing various Orders passed by the Second /ITAT and preparing appeal and other allied matters and attendance before High Court irrespective of number of hearings.	75,000/-



In addition you will be reimbursed actual expenditure incurred for travel (by air in the economy class), lodging, boarding and incidental out of pocket / clerkage expenses in connection with Company's income tax / wealth tax matters and applicable service tax / other taxes if hearing of the case is posted out of Nagpur.

However rates are subject to modification as given below: For payment of consultants fees following procedure is to be followed:

1. If appeals having similar /identical grounds irrespective of assessment year are clubbed during the hearing and single order is issued by the appellate authority, the payment for first two appeals will be paid at full rate given above and balance number of appeals will be paid at 50% of the rates given above.

For ex.: If all the items of three appeals are identical irrespective of assessment year clubbed by High Court and single order is issued then, first two appeals will be paid Rs. 150000/- (ie. @ Rs. 75000/- per appeals, Rs. 75000\*2) and balance one appeal will be paid Rs. 37500/- (i.e Rs. 75000/2)

2.If grounds of appeals are identical but heard separately and separate order has been issued by the appellate authority, that will be treated separate appeals and paid accordingly i.e. Rs. 225000/- (Rs. 75000\*3).

Kindly sign and return duplicate copy of this letter as token of your acceptance of the appointment mentioned above.

Thanking you

Yours Faithfully,
For Western Coalfields Limited

General Manager(F) Incharge -I

9

ITAX CONSAppointmentt of consultants

8

RKTA: New Delhi

Anvigil@nic.in

Website www.cvc.nic.in

2001-07

/Frex: 24616286



केन्द्रीय सतर्कता आयोग CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION



शतकता पवन, जी.पी.जो. कॉम्पलेक्स. अनॉक-ए, वाई.एन.ए., नई दिल्ही-11002 Satarkta Bhawan, G.P.O. Complex Block A, INA, New Delhi 110023

/No. 005/CRD/19

Circular No. 18/12/12

Subject: Transparency in Works/Purchase/Consultancy contracts awarded on nomination basis - reg.

The Commission has been emphasising on the need for observing integrity, transparency, fairness and equity in all aspects of decision making including in tendering and award of contracts. However, the Commission is still receiving complaints regarding adoption of non-transparent methods in tendering and award of contracts. A number of such complaints pertain to award on nomination (single source procurement) basis instead of following a process of open competitive bidding. The Commission in their earlier office order No. 23/7/04 and dated 05:07.2007(copy enclosed) had laid down the exceptional circumstances where single cource procurement can be resorted to. These guidelines were consequent to the Suprement Court's judgement in case of Nagar Nigam, Meerut v/s A1 Faheem Meat Export Pvt. Ltd. [SLP]

2. In view of the complaints being received regarding award of contracts on 'nomination basis' without adequate justification, the Commission has decided to reiterate their earlier instructions for strict implementation. The Commission has also observed that there have been organisations/PSUs and further award the same to private entities on 'back to back tie up' basis without competitive lendering mechanism and without any significant value addition by the procuring government organisation/PSU. This practice subverts the Commission's emphasis on earlier this practice. Further, the Commission directs that details of all tenders awarded on some proportion basis shall be posted on website in public domain as per Commission's office orders.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt and circulate to all concerned in your organization.

Diole Complain

(J. Vinod Kumar)
Officer on Special Duty

(i) The CMDs of all CPSUs/Public Sector Banks/Insurance Companies/Fls.

The CVOs of all the Ministries/Departments/Public Sector Undertakings/Public Sector Banks/Insurance Companies/Societies and other Local Authorities

"lun!

No.005/CRO/19(part)
Government of India
Central Vigllance Commission

Satarkata Bhawan, GPO Complex, INA, New Delhi, Dated 19" May, 2010

## OFFICE ORDER No.19/05/10

Sub: Transparency in Works/Purchase/Consultancy contracts awarded on Nomination basis.

Commission vide Circular No.15/5/06 dated 09/05/2006 had prescribed measures to be followed on works/purchase/consultancy contracts arded on nomination basis by PSUs. These instructions have since been reviewed in the Commission and the Commission is of the view that the Board of the PSU is not required to scrutinize or post facto vet the actions of the operational managers and their decisions to award work on nomination basis.

- 2. Therefore, the following amendment is being made in sub-para (i) of Para 2 of Commission's above circular:-
- \* All works awarded on nomination basis should be brought to the notice of the Board of the respective PSUs for scrutiny and vetting post facto"

## Read as

"All works awarded on nomination basis should be brought to the notice of the Board of the respective PSUs for information".

(Vineet Mathur)
Director

## All Chief Vigilance Officers of CPSUs.

Copy to:

(ii) All Secretaries of Govt. of India (iii) All CEOs/Heads of Organizations